On the Antioxidant Activity of the *Ortho* and *Meta* Substituted Daidzein Derivatives in the Gas Phase and Solvent Environment

Meysam Najafi*

Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. mnajafi91@yahoo.com

Received February 14th, 2013; Accepted September 24th, 2013.

Abstract. The antioxidant activities of substituted Daidzein derivatives have been investigated. The electron withdrawing substituents increase the bond dissociation enthalpy, ionization potential, while electron donating groups cause a rise in the proton affinity. The Daidzein derivatives with the lowest bond dissociation enthalpy, ionization potential and proton affinity values were identified as the compounds with high antioxidant activity. The Daidzein derivatives can process their protective role via hydrogen atom transfer and sequential proton loss electron transfer mechanism in gas phase and solvent, respectively.

Key words: Antioxidant, Daidzein, substituent effect, DFT, E_{HOMO}.

Abbreviations:

Density Functional Theory (DFT), Bond Dissociation Enthalpy (BDE), Ionization Potential (IP), Proton Affinity (PA), Single Electron Transfer followed by Proton Transfer (SET–PT), Sequential Proton Loss Electron Transfer (SPLET), Hydrogen Atom Transfer (HAT), Polarized Continuum Model (PCM), EDG–substituent (Electron Donating Group), EWG–substituent (Electron Withdrawing Group).

Introduction

Excess formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by various enzymatic and nonenzymatic processes in living organisms has been associated with the oxidation of DNA, proteins and lipids. The ROS have been found to play an important role in the initiation and progression of various diseases such as atherosclerosis, cancer, cardiovascular disease, neurodegenerative disease and aging [1]. Antioxidant helps cells to cope with oxidative stress by effectively quenching free radicals.

Daidzein (7-Hydroxy-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) chromen-4one) and its derivatives represent one of the most active classes of compound possessing a wide spectrum of biological activity. Many of these compounds have proven to be active as antioxidant and antitumor agents [2]. Daidzein (Fig. 1.) and its derivatives have been effectively used as antioxidants for the treatment of disorders in which there is excessive or undesirable cloterting, such as thrombophlebitis, pulmonary embolism, and certain cardiac conditions [3]. Daidzein has been shown to impose protective effects in oxidant stress mediated tissue injury in vivo. Daidzein as hydrophilic antioxidant possesses high capacity to modulate the inflammatory cascade triggered by oxidative stress and to improve altered angio genesis that pervades the management of diabetic foot ulcer [4]. Daidzein **Resumen.** Se ha investigado la actividad antioxidante de derivados de la daidzeína substituida. Los sustituyentes electroatractores incrementan la entalpía de disociación de enlace y el potencial de ionización, mientras que los grupos electrodonadores provocan un aumento en la afinidad protónica. Los derivados de la daidzeína con las menores entalpía de disociación de enlace, potencial de ionización y afinidad protónica se identificaron como los compuestos con actividad antioxidante alta. En fase gaseosa y en presencia de solvente, los derivados de la daidzeína pueden desarrollar su papel protector vía la transferencia de un átomo de hidrógeno y por un mecanismo secuencial de pérdida de protón-transferencia electrónica, respectivamente.

Palabras Clave: Antioxidante, daidzeína, efecto del sustituyente, TFD, E_{HOMO}.



Fig. 1. Structure of Daidzein (7–Hydroxy–3–(4–hydroxyphenyl) chromen–4–one) and Studied the ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins: X=Br, Ethyl, CH=CH₂, CCH, CF₃, Cl, CN, COMe, COH, COOH, F, NMe₂, NHMe, NH₂, NO₂, OMe, OH, Ph, t–Bu.

has been reported to act by different modes such as: protection and inhibition of LDL lipid peroxidation, reduction of myocardial infarction as a promising drug for cardiac ischemia and reperfusion, stimulation of wound healing in genetically diabetic mice, reduction of the glutamate-induced oxidative effects, and anti proliferative effects in the rat aortic smooth muscle cells in vivo [5].

The phenolic antioxidants (ArOH) inhibit oxidation by transferring their phenolic H atom to a chain-carrying peroxyl radical (ROO[•]) at a rate much faster than that of chain propagation [6]. This yields a non-radical product (ROOH) that cannot propagate the chain reaction. It is proposed that chain-breaking antioxidants can play their protective role via two major mechanisms. In the first one, H-atom transfer (HAT) mechanism, the phenolic H atom is transferred in one step. The bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE) represents reaction enthalpy of the HAT mechanism; the lower the BDE, the easier the dissociation of the phenolic O–H bond.

$$ArOH \rightarrow ArO^{\bullet} + H^{\bullet}$$
 (1)

The second mechanism, single electron transfer followed by proton transfer (SET-PT), takes place in two steps. In the first step, cation radical is formed. In the second one, deprotonation of ArOH⁺⁺ occurs, followed by the protonation of ROO⁻. Ionization potential (Eq. 2.1) and proton dissociation enthalpy (Eq. 2.2) represent enthalpies of the SET–PT process [7].

$$ArOH \rightarrow ArOH^{+\bullet} + e^{-}$$
 (2.1)

$$ArOH^{+\bullet} \rightarrow ArO^{\bullet} + H^{+}$$
 (2.2)

Recently, another mechanism has been discovered. This was named sequential proton loss electron transfer (SPLET), taking place in two steps. The reaction enthalpy of the first step corresponds to the proton affinity (Eq. 3.1) of the phenoxide anion (ArO⁻). In the second step, electron transfer from phenoxide anion to ROO[•] occurs and the phenoxy radical is formed. The reaction enthalpy of this step is denoted as electron transfer enthalpy (Eq. 3.2) [8, 9].

$$ArOH \rightarrow ArO^- + H^+$$
 (3.1)

$$ArO^{-} \rightarrow ArO^{\bullet} + e^{-}$$
 (3.2)

From the antioxidant action viewpoint, the net result of SPLET is the same as in the two previously mentioned mechanisms, the transfer of the hydrogen atom to the free radicals. Although, reaction enthalpies (BDE, IP, PA) related to three mechanisms is of importance in evaluating the antioxidant action, other criteria, including solubility, bioavailability, and nontoxicity must also be considered when designing an effective and safe antioxidant.

The biological implications and the great potential of Daidzeins as antioxidant aroused our interest in elucidating its antioxidant activity by means of DFT/B3LYP calculations, which have been successfully used for a variety of antioxidants [10, 11]. The substituent effects are among the most important concepts of structural effects influencing the chemical, physicochemical, and biochemical properties of chemical species [12, 13]. In recent years many previous experimental and theoretical investigations have been carried out on phenol and mono-substituted phenols in gas phase and the solvent environment [14-17]. Theoretical study of the substituent effect on antioxidant activity of Daidzein can be utilized in the synthesis of substances with enhanced antioxidant properties. Various substituents such as electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) and electron-donating groups (EDG) were located in ortho and meta position on the aromatic ring of Daidzein (Fig. 1.). In present paper the substituent effect on reaction enthalpies of

homolytic (HAT mechanism) and heterolytic two-step (SPLET and SET-PT) mechanisms of O–H bond cleavage for monosubstituted Daidzeins were investigated in gas phase and water. The Daidzein is a powerful water soluble antioxidant therefore in this study the water as the main cell environment was chosen in order to assess the substituent effect on above mentioned enthalpies in solution phase. Also the correlations of calculated enthalpies with corresponding E_{HOMO} values and Hammett constants of substituents were investigated.

Thus, the main aims of this work are (i) To find the novel Daidzein derivatives with high antioxidant activity from the theoretical point of view; (ii) To identify the substituents that are the most effective in reducing the BDE, IP and PA; (iii) To compare the difference of same substituent effect in ortho and meta positions on reaction enthalpies; (iv) To explore how the polar solvents alter the reaction enthalpies of three mechanisms of studied derivatives; (v) To find which mechanism is preferred from the thermodynamic point of view in gas phase and solvent; (vi) To identify the dependencies between reaction enthalpies and structural parameters for the studied derivatives.

Computational details

The geometries of the derivations (at the restricted B3LYP level) and corresponding systems involving open-shell species (at the unrestricted B3LYP level) were optimized using the DFT and the 6-31G (d,p) basis set in the gas phase and solution phase. Single point calculations for derivations (at the restricted B3LYP level) and for systems involving open-shell species (at the restricted B3LYP open shell (half electron) level) were performed using 6-311++G (2d,2p) basis set [18]. The optimized structures were confirmed to be real minima by frequency calculation. For the species having more conformers, all conformers were investigated. The conformer with the lowest electronic energy was used in this work. All reported enthalpies were zero-point (ZPE) corrected with un-scaled frequencies. Solvent contribution to the total enthalpies was computed employing the PCM method [19, 20]. All calculations were performed using Gaussian 98 program package [21]. All enthalpies were calculated for 298.15 K and 1.0 atmosphere pressure.

Results and Discussion

Total enthalpies of the studied species X, H(X), at the temperature T are usually estimated from the expression (4).

$$H(X) = E_0 + ZPE + \Delta H_{trans} + \Delta H_{rot} + \Delta H_{vib} + RT$$
(4)

From the calculated total enthalpies we have determined following quantities:

$$BDE = H(ArO^{\bullet}) + H(H^{\bullet}) - H(ArOH)$$
(5)

$$IP = H(ArOH^{+\bullet}) + H(e^{-}) - H(ArOH)$$
(6)

$$PDE = H(ArO^{\bullet}) + H(H^{+}) - H(ArOH^{+\bullet})$$
(7)

$$PA = H(ArO-) + H(H+) - H(ArOH)$$
(8)

$$ETE = H(ArO^{\bullet}) + H(e^{-}) - H(ArO^{-})$$
(9)

The calculated gas phase enthalpy of proton, $H(H^+)$, and electron, H (e⁻), is 6.197 and 3.145 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The enthalpy of H⁺ hydration is -1090 kJ mol⁻¹. The B3LYP/6-311++G** computed electron hydration enthalpy, $\Delta_{hvdr}H(e^{-})$ = -105 kJ mol^{-1} , has been employed in this paper [22-24]. It is known that, potential inaccuracies related to computed solvation enthalpies of electron, proton and hydrogen atom will be cancelled when the substituent effect is studied as the difference in the reaction enthalpies of substituted and Daidzein, i.e. in terms of $\triangle BDE$, $\triangle PA$ and $\triangle IP$. On the other hand, BDE, PA and IP values allow determination of the preferred reaction pathway in the studied solvent and gas phase [25-30]. The previous studies on the antioxidant activity of natural and synthesized phenolic antioxidant show that B3LYP functional describes the substituent effect in very good agreement with available experimental results. Also in previous studies the B3LYP method provided the BDE, IP and PA values of various substituted phenols that these values are in very high agreement with published experimental values [8, 27, 31-34].

Ionization Potentials in Gas Phase and Water

This paper represents the first theoretical systematic study of substituted Daidzeins IP values. In previous studies [17, 26] the substituted effect on IPs of para and meta substituted phenols and pyridinethiols have been investigated in gas phase employing B3LYP approach. No systematic study of solvent effect on IPs and Δ IPs of substituted Daidzeins have been published, yet. In this paper, the calculated IP for the Daidzein in gas phase reached 667 kJ mol⁻¹. The computed gas phase IPs and Δ IP = IP(X–ArOH) – IP(ArOH) for substituents in ortho and meta position are reported in Table 1.

In ortho and meta position, highest IP values were found for strong EWG substituents (NO₂, CF₃ and CN); the lowest IPs were obtained for strong EDG substituents (NMe₂, NH₂, and NHMe). For F, Cl and Br in ortho and meta positions, the IP values are higher in comparison to the Daidzein. For meta and ortho substituted Daidzeins with OH and OMe, IP values are lower in comparison to Daidzein. For meta and ortho substituted Daidzeins with COH, COOH and COMe, IP values are higher in comparison to Daidzein. The difference between the highest and the lowest IP values for meta and ortho positions was 92.1 and 141.9 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively.

As a known fact in organic chemistry, the EWG substituents stabilize the parent molecule and destabilize the radical and radical cation. It results in the increase in IP. However, EDG

Table 1. Calculated IPs and Δ IPs in (kJ mol⁻¹) of ortho, meta and non-substituted Daidzeins in gas phase and water.

		G	as		Water			
_	ortho		m	eta	or	ortho		eta
Substituent	IP	Δ IP	IP	Δ IP	IP	Δ IP	IP	ΔΙΡ
Non	667.0	0.0	667.0	0.0	442.0	0.0	442.0	0.0
NMe ₂	592.0	-75.0	622.5	-44.5	387.3	-54.7	406.3	-35.7
NHMe	595.1	-71.9	625.8	-41.2	389.1	-52.9	409.6	-32.4
NH ₂	596.9	-70.1	626.6	-40.4	391.4	-50.6	412.1	-29.9
t–Bu	631.5	-35.5	645.9	-21.1	417.6	-24.4	429.9	-12.1
Ethyl	634.0	-33.0	649.2	-17.8	419.6	-22.4	428.4	-13.6
Ph	630.3	-36.7	647.3	-19.7	419.7	-22.3	427.9	-14.1
CH=CH ₂	638.7	-28.3	647.8	-19.2	415.9	-26.1	426.3	-15.7
ОН	616.4	-50.6	639.7	-27.3	407.5	-34.5	422.9	-19.1
OMe	620.3	-46.7	642.5	-24.5	406.0	-36.0	423.2	-18.8
ССН	685.8	18.8	681.7	14.7	455.6	13.6	452.9	10.9
F	707.9	40.9	692.3	25.3	472.9	30.9	461.0	19.0
СОН	706.4	39.4	688.9	21.9	469.9	27.9	459.7	17.7
СООН	705.2	38.2	690.1	23.1	469.3	27.3	458.7	16.7
Cl	702.1	35.1	690.2	23.2	471.6	29.6	456.8	14.8
COMe	702.4	35.4	687.9	20.9	469.3	27.3	456.1	14.1
Br	706.7	39.7	690.9	23.9	474.7	32.7	454.6	12.6
CF ₃	722.2	55.2	699.6	32.6	480.1	38.1	467.1	25.1
CN	728.4	61.4	708.1	41.1	488.0	46.0	476.0	34.0
NO ₂	733.9	66.9	714.6	47.6	495.2	53.2	481.2	39.2

subsitutents have an opposite effect. Therefore, their presence in the molecule leads to a decrease in IP. The decrease in IPs (negative Δ IPs) of EDG-substituted Daidzeins is the combined result of the cation radical stabilization and the parent molecules destabilization. However, the increased IPs (positive Δ IPs) of EWG-substituted Daidzeins may stem from the combination of both the parents and the cation radical destabilization. These results are in accordance with data published for substituent phenols [17, 24].

The computed IPs using above mentioned calculated $\Delta_{hydr}H(e^{-})$ value in the water for substituted Daidzeins in meta and ortho positions are reported in Table 1. Table 1 summarizes Δ IP values, too. In water, determination of IP requires the value of electron hydration enthalpy, $\Delta_{hydr}H(e^{-})$. The B3LYP/6-311++G** computed electron hydration enthalpy, $\Delta_{hydr}H(e^{-}) =$ -105 kJ mol⁻¹, has been employed in this paper [24]. Potential inaccuracies related to employed electron hydration enthalpy value will be canceled when the substituent effect is expressed in terms of Δ IPs. Water causes considerable changes in the enthalpies of parent molecules and cation radical of substitued Daidzeins. In this paper, the calculated IP for the Daidzein in the water reached 442 kJ mol⁻¹.

For strong EDGs, i.e. NMe_2 , NH_2 and NHMe, in meta and ortho positions, found the drop in IP values. For meta and ortho substituted Daidzeins with NO_2 group the IP value is higher than corresponding value of Daidzein. In water, substituent induced changes are lower than those observed in the gas phase. The EWG-substituents stabilize the parent molecule and destabilize the radical cation. On the other hand, EDG-substituents have an opposite effect. Water causes attenuation of substituent effects in terms of narrower Δ IP range. Again, substituents in ortho position exert stronger influence upon IP than the same substituents in meta position. Calculated IPs related to substituted Daidzeins in water are lower than the corresponding values in the gas phase. Mainly, due to the negative enthalpy of electron hydration in water, IP is significantly lower than that in the gas phase.

For substituted Daidzeins in ortho position substituents exert significantly stronger influence upon IP than in the meta position in gas phase and water. These results stem from the fact that the radical cation derived from the electron abstraction from any of ortho substituted Daidzeins can be stabilized by the electron donating power of the ortho hydroxyl and the intramolecular hydrogen bond formed (for example NH₂, NHMe, halogen groups). Alternatively, if the substituent can act as hydrogen bond donors to the phenolic oxygen atom the corresponding phenoxyl radical cation may be stabilized relative to basic structure with a consequent decrease in its IP. Therefore cause that forming the hydrogen bond in ortho position; substituents in ortho position have stronger influence upon IP ca 10-30 kJ mol⁻¹ in comparison to same substituent with meta position. The overall results of the calculations of IP can be summarized by the fact that EWG-substituted Daidzeins with higher IPs may exhibit weaker antioxidant activity in comparison to EDG ones in gas phase and water. The obtained results in this work are in agreement with previous studies on the substituted phenols (reported data in Table 2) and chromans [17,18,24].

Proton Affinities in Gas phase and Water

PA represents the reaction enthalpy of the first step in SPLET mechanism. PAs of substituted Daidzeins have not been obtained by theoretical calculations previously. In previous studies [25, 26] the substituent effect on PAs of para and meta substituted phenols and pyridinethiols have been investigated by DFT using B3LYP functional in the gas phase.

The present calculated PA for the Daidzein reached 1444 kJ mol⁻¹ in gas phase. The computed PAs and Δ PAs for the various substituents in ortho and meta positions of Daidzein in the gas phase are reported in Table 3. The highest values of PA for ortho and meta positions were found for NMe₂, NH₂, and NHMe groups. The lowest PA values of these positions were found in the case of NO₂, CF₃ and CN groups. The F, Cl and Br groups in ortho and meta position cause decrease in PA in comparison to Daidzein. For Daidzeins with COH, COOH and COMe groups in meta and ortho positions, PA values are lower than PA value of Daidzein.

The differences between the highest and the lowest gas phase PA values for ortho and meta–substituents were 128.8 and 70 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. In agreement with previous studies on substituted phenols (reported data in Table 2) [15-17] it can be concluded that EDG substituents increase PA, whereas EWG ones decrease PA. It is known that a charged molecule is more sensitive to the effect of substituent than its neutral counterpart. EWG substituents stabilize ArO⁻ but destabilize the parent structures. Electron donating groups have an opposite effect [27-29].

For PA calculations in water, proton hydration enthalpy, $\Delta_{hydr}H(H^+)$, is inevitable. Therefore, we have utilized $\Delta_{hydr}H(H^+)$ = -1022 kJ mol⁻¹ value [24]. The present calculated PA for the Daidzein reached 253 kJ mol⁻¹ in water. For Daidzeins substituted in meta and ortho positions, computed PAs and Δ PAs in the water are reported in Table 3.

Again, EWG substituents decrease PAs, whereas EDG groups increase PAs in agreement with results from substituted phenols in water [17]. Again, strong electron-donating NMe₂, NH₂ and NHMe cause an increase in PA. The presence of COH, COOH and COMe group in ortho and meta positions results in PA decrease. For halogens in ortho and meta positions, we have the drops in PAs. The largest decrease in PA shows Daidzein with NO₂ group in ortho or meta positions. Differences between the highest and lowest PA values for two studied positions were 85.9 (ortho) and 58.3 kJ mol⁻¹ (meta).

Water causes considerable changes in the enthalpies of anions. Calculated PAs related to substituted Daidzeins in water are lower than the corresponding values in the gas phase. Mainly, due to the large negative enthalpy of proton hydration, PAs in the water are significantly lower than gas phase values.

It confirms that water attenuates substituent induced changes. For substituted Daidzeins in ortho position substituents exert

Table 2. Experimental and theoretical (in DFT/B3LYP method, 6-31G (d,p) and 6-311++G (2d,2p) basis sets) Δ BDEs, Δ IPs and Δ PAs of various substituted phenols (kJ mol⁻¹) in gas phase and water.

	ΔBDE				ΔIP		ΔΡΑ			
-	Theoretical				Theoretical			Theoretical		
Substituent	Exp ^[a]	Gas ^[b]	Water ^[c]	Exp ^[d]	Gas ^[e]	Water ^[f]	Exp ^[g]	Gas ^[f]	Water ^[c]	
NMe ₂	-40	-43	-31	-67	-71	-66	22	26	18	
NHMe	-35	-38	-23	-74	-79	-74	22	24	19	
NH ₂	-35	-38	-22	-68	-72	-63	24	24	20	
OH	-20	-24	-21	-45	-48	-40	17	20	15	
OMe	-13	-16	-17	-22	-23	-29	18	16	9	
t–Bu	-5	-7	-2	-10	-12	-8	-	7	8	
Me	-2	-4	-4	-8	-10	-8	11	9	7	
Ethyl	-2	-3	-1	-15	-17	-5	8	6	6	
CH=CH ₂	-2	-3	3	-7	-6	-11	-10	-12	-3	
Ph	-4	-5	-8	-20	-21	-5	_	-14	-9	
F	-7	-8	-9	22	24	18	-21	-24	-21	
ССН	_	6	3	_	13	14	-22	-27	-18	
Cl	-6	-8	-9	20	23	16	-24	-23	-18	
Br	-7	-8	-7	20	22	16	-28	-22	-18	
СОН	13	16	11	-	24	21	-33	-33	-17	
СООН	16	19	12	30	31	19	-25	-27	-15	
COMe	16	17	13	25	28	16	-	-31	-16	
CF ₃	14	16	12	49	52	32	-46	-48	-30	
CN	16	18	12	63	68	35	-53	-55	-38	
NO ₂	23	25	19	70	74	41	-66	-69	-41	

^aFrom Ref. [23].

^bFrom Ref. [31].

^cFrom Ref. [32].

^eFrom Ref. [8]. ^fFrom Ref. [34].

^gFrom Ref. [7].

significantly stronger influence upon PA than in the meta position in gas phase and water. These results stem from this fact that the anion derived from the proton abstraction from any of ortho substituted Daidzeins can be stabilized by the electron withdrawing substituent. Alternatively, if the substituent can act as hydrogen bond donors to the phenolic oxygen atom the corresponding phenoxyl anion may be stabilized relative to basic structure with a consequent decrease in its PA.

Therefore cause that forming the hydrogen bond in ortho position; substituents in ortho position have stronger influence upon PA ca 10-25 kJ mol⁻¹ in comparison to same substituent in meta position. The obtained results of this work are in agreement with previous studies on the substituted phenols and chromans [17, 28, 29].

Bond Dissociation Enthalpies in Gas Phase and Water

In previous studies [15-17] the solvent and substituent effects on O–H BDEs substituted phenols have been investigated.

No systematic study of solvent effect on BDEs and \triangle BDEs of substituted Daidzeins have been published, yet. The O-H BDE value of Daidzein is ca 381 and 367 kJ mol⁻¹ in gas phase and water, respectively. In this section we investigated the substituent and solvent effects on BDE of Daidzein. The computed gas phase BDE and \triangle BDE values, where \triangle BDE = BDE (X-ArOH) - BDE (ArOH), for substituents placed in ortho and meta position (Fig. 1.) are reported in Table 4. The electron-donating substituents decrease BDE values, whereas electron-withdrawing groups increase BDE values. The O-H BDE of structure with NO2, COH, COOH and COMe substituent in ortho and meta position was higher than BDE value of Daidzein. For ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins with NMe₂, NH₂ and NHMe the BDE values are lower than BDE value Daidzein. For ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins with halogen substituents the BDE values are higher than BDE value of Daidzein. For ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins with OMe and OH substituent the BDE values are lower in comparison to the BDE value of Daidzein. The difference between the highest

^dFrom Ref. [33].

Table 3. Calculated PAs and ΔPAs in (kJ mol⁻¹) of ortho, meta and non-substituted Daidzeins in gas phase and water.

_		G	as		Water				
_	ortho		me	eta	ortho		m	eta	
Substituent	PA	ΔΡΑ	PA	ΔΡΑ	PA	ΔΡΑ	PA	ΔΡΑ	
Non	1444.0	0.0	1444.0	0.0	253.0	0.0	253.0	0.0	
NMe ₂	1498.0	54.0	1469.2	25.2	288.8	35.8	272.8	19.8	
NHMe	1495.3	51.3	1469.7	25.7	290.8	37.8	273.2	20.2	
NH ₂	1497.2	53.2	1472.0	28.0	290.1	37.1	275.0	22.0	
t–Bu	1474.9	30.9	1462.7	18.7	275.0	22.0	267.7	14.7	
Ethyl	1477.5	33.5	1461.3	17.3	272.8	19.8	265.8	12.8	
Ph	1473.9	29.9	1459.8	15.8	272.4	19.4	264.9	11.9	
CH=CH ₂	1471.1	27.1	1460.9	16.9	272.9	19.9	263.5	10.5	
OH	1481.7	37.7	1464.7	20.7	285.0	32.0	267.0	14.0	
OMe	1477.6	33.6	1467.0	23.0	282.9	29.9	268.0	15.0	
ССН	1470.5	26.5	1462.8	18.8	271.3	18.3	266.7	13.7	
F	1412.7	-31.3	1422.0	-22.0	228.3	-24.7	235.3	-17.7	
СОН	1406.6	-37.4	1416.5	-27.5	224.5	-28.5	232.8	-20.2	
СООН	1403.6	-40.4	1418.9	-25.1	224.3	-28.7	236.5	-16.5	
Cl	1409.8	-34.2	1418.7	-25.3	222.9	-30.1	234.0	-19.0	
COMe	1406.3	-37.7	1419.5	-24.5	225.2	-27.8	238.0	-15.0	
Br	1410.5	-33.5	1421.5	-22.5	230.2	-22.8	235.4	-17.6	
CF ₃	1386.6	-57.4	1408.9	-35.1	217.5	-35.5	226.7	-26.3	
CN	1376.2	-67.8	1407.7	-36.3	204.9	-48.1	223.5	-29.5	
NO ₂	1369.2	-74.8	1402.0	-42.0	201.2	-51.8	216.7	-36.3	

and lowest BDE values for ortho and meta substituent Daidzeins were 89.5 and 51.4 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. The obtained results can be interpreted with a known fact that electron–withdrawing groups in ortho and the meta position stabilize the parent molecule and destabilize the radical; hence, it increases the O–H BDE. However, electron-donating groups in ortho and meta position have an opposite effect, and therefore, their presence lead to a decrease in the O–H BDE.

Klein et al. [17] indicated that the PCM method could describe the substituent effect in very good agreement with experimental data for O-H BDEs of substituted phenols in water (reported data in Table 2). The calculated BDE for the Daidzein in water is lower than corresponding value in gas phase by 14 kJ mol⁻¹. The computed BDEs and Δ BDEs in the water for ortho and meta-substituted Daidzeins are reported in Table 4. For ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins with NMe₂, NH₂ and NHMe the BDE values are lower in comparison to the Daidzein. For meta and ortho substituted Daidzeins with halogen substituents the BDE values are higher in comparison to the Daidzein. For ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins with COH, COOH and COMe the BDE values are higher than the BDE value of Daidzein. The difference between the highest and the lowest BDE values in the water for ortho and meta positions were 78.4 and 32.6 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. In water, electron-donating substituents decrease BDE values, whereas electron-withdrawing groups increase BDE values similar to founded results for the gas phase. In comparison to the gas phase, the effects of various substituents which include EDG and EWG on the BDEs in ortho and meta positions were decreased in the water phase. Therefore we can conclude that in comparison to the gas phase, the effect of EDG-substituents and EWG-substituents on BDE in ortho and meta positions decreases in water.

An inspection of the O–H BDE values appearing in Table 4 shows that in water calculated BDE values were lower than gas phase ones. Overall results reveal weak dependence of BDEs values on the solvent polarity. Water causes changes in enthalpies of molecule and radical of studied structures. Since water causes unequal stabilization/destabilization of the parent molecule and the respective radical this can be a fundamental reason for obtained results.

Therefore decrease in BDEs (negative Δ BDEs) for EDG– substituted Daidzeins is the combined results of the radical stabilization and the parent molecules destabilization. However, increased BDEs (positive Δ BDEs) for EWG-substituted Daidzeins seem to be the combination of both the parents and the radicals destabilization.

In the present study computed results for substituted Daidzeins show that substituents in ortho position exert significantly stronger influence on O–H BDE than substituents in the meta position in gas phase and water. It can be concluded some of ortho substituted Daidzein can form hydrogen bonding. The

Table 4. Calculated BDEs and Δ BDEs in (kJ mol⁻¹) of *ortho*, *meta* and non–substituted Daidzeins in gas phase and water, and Hammett constants of substituents σ_m [13].

		G	as			Water				
_	or	tho	me	eta	or	tho	m	eta		
Substituent	BDE	ΔBDE	BDE	ΔBDE	BDE	ΔBDE	BDE	ΔBDE	$\sigma_{\rm m}$	
Non	381.0	0.0	381.0	0.0	367.0	0.0	367.0	0.0		
NMe ₂	333.6	-47.4	355.3	-25.7	326.2	-40.8	350.7	-16.3	-0.24	
NHMe	334.0	-47.0	356.4	-24.6	325.6	-41.4	351.6	-15.4	-0.24	
NH ₂	334.5	-46.5	356.8	-24.2	327.2	-39.8	352.6	-14.4	-0.16	
t–Bu	363.6	-17.4	370.4	-10.6	352.8	-14.2	359.2	-7.8	-0.10	
Ethyl	362.9	-18.1	371.0	-10.0	353.6	-13.4	358.8	-8.2	-0.07	
Ph	365.2	-15.8	371.8	-9.2	354.7	-12.3	359.7	-7.3	0.06	
CH=CH ₂	369.1	-11.9	374.2	-6.8	355.2	-11.8	358.7	-8.3	0.06	
OH	351.9	-29.1	363.8	-17.2	344.3	-22.7	355.8	-11.2	0.12	
OMe	356.6	-24.4	363.8	-17.2	347.9	-19.1	356.1	-10.9	0.12	
ССН	393.0	12.0	390.7	9.7	376.2	9.2	373.7	6.7	0.21	
F	400.5	19.5	393.4	12.4	381.6	14.6	372.9	5.9	0.34	
СОН	401.3	20.3	392.9	11.9	380.6	13.6	375.2	8.2	0.35	
СООН	398.7	17.7	393.6	12.6	381.1	14.1	377.0	10.0	0.37	
Cl	398.0	17.0	393.1	12.1	380.3	13.3	372.1	5.1	0.37	
COMe	395.5	14.5	392.7	11.7	379.0	12.0	373.5	6.5	0.38	
Br	396.7	15.7	395.0	14.0	379.4	12.4	372.0	5.0	0.39	
CF ₃	415.1	34.1	399.3	18.3	394.5	27.5	376.7	9.7	0.43	
CN	418.4	37.4	402.9	21.9	398.6	31.6	382.0	15.0	0.56	
NO ₂	423.1	42.1	406.7	25.7	404.0	37.0	383.3	16.3	0.71	

forming hydrogen bonds can high effect on reaction enthalpies of ortho substituted Daidzein markedly. The substituent can act as hydrogen bond donors to the phenolic oxygen atom (for example o–NH₂ group) the corresponding phenoxyl radical may be stabilized relative to basic structure with a consequent decrease in its O–H BDE. Therefore cause that forming the hydrogen bond in ortho position; substituents in ortho position have stronger influence upon BDE ca 8-40 kJ mol⁻¹ in comparison to same substituent in meta position. The overall results of the calculations of O–H BDE can be summarized by the fact that EWG-substituted Daidzeins with higher BDEs may exhibit weaker antioxidant activity in comparison to EDG ones in gas phase and water. The obtained results in this work are in agreement with previous studies on the substituted phenols, chromans and other natural phenolic antioxidants [16, 25].

Proton Dissociation Enthalpies and Electron Transfer Enthalpies in Gas phase and Water

PDE and ETE represent the reaction enthalpies of the second steps in SET–PT and SPLET mechanisms, respectively. For the whole SET-PT and SPLET energetics knowledge, it is also important to study PDEs and ETEs and to investigate the solvent and substituent effects on these reaction enthalpies. In previous papers the substituent effect on PDEs of substituted phenols have been theoretically investigated by DFT using B3LYP functional [35-37]. In recent papers the PDE and ETE values of ortho and meta substituted chromans have been calculated in gas and water [25, 38]. There are no experimental PDEs available yet. The PDE value for Daidzein as antioxidant has not been studied previously. The Calculated O-H PDE in for Daidzein reached 1032 kJ mol-1 in the gas phase and 147 kJ mol⁻¹ in water. Calculated PDEs for the substituted Daidzeins in the gas phase and water were summarized in Table 5. PDE of Daidzein in water was lower than the corresponding value in the gas phase by 885 kJ mol⁻¹. Mainly, due to the large enthalpy of proton hydration, PDEs in water are significantly lower than gas phase values. Water also causes considerable changes in the enthalpies of radicals and radical cations of studied structures. Highest PDEs were found for strong EDG substituents (NMe₂, NH₂, and NHMe), whereas lowest PDEs were obtained for strong EWG substituents (NO₂, CF₃ and CN). This trend is opposite to that observed for PAs. It is known that electron-donating groups stabilize ArOH+• but destabilize the parent structure, while electron-withdrawing groups have an opposite effect [35-38]. The obtained results of this work are in agreement with previous studies on the substituted phenols and chromans [35-38].

For substituted Daidzein, ETEs were not studied previously. In literature, only DFT/B3LYP ETEs of substituted phenols and chromans are available [36-40]. The calculated O–H ETE for Daidzein reached 324 kJ mol⁻¹ in the gas phase and

Table 5. Calculated PDEs and ETEs in (kJ mol⁻¹) of ortho, meta and non-substituted Daidzeins in gas phase and water.

_		G	as		Water				
	ortho		те	eta	ori	tho	me	eta	
Substituent	PDE	ETE	PDE	ETE	PDE	ETE	PDE	ETE	
Non	1032.0	324.0	1032.0	324.0	147.0	256.0	147.0	256.0	
NMe ₂	1100.6	294.3	1067.6	312.8	193.7	233.1	172.0	251.0	
NHMe	1098.6	293.6	1057.8	314.4	196.9	230.8	167.7	249.9	
NH ₂	1091.2	293.5	1055.2	311.9	184.9	229.9	163.2	246.1	
t–Bu	1054.4	310.7	1042.3	316.4	156.2	253.6	151.7	254.5	
Ethyl	1049.3	313.6	1041.5	318.3	156.7	255.2	151.9	254.1	
Ph	1048.0	323.5	1046.9	325.8	153.2	273.0	151.0	270.8	
CH=CH ₂	1049.6	328.4	1035.6	328.4	154.0	273.5	147.1	269.7	
OH	1046.7	305.0	1044.0	323.7	166.7	240.8	159.2	259.5	
OMe	1050.7	308.0	1041.0	318.2	165.5	245.0	155.5	251.7	
ССН	1037.8	344.0	1041.5	340.1	143.6	285.6	140.0	280.3	
F	1018.0	341.4	1020.4	339.6	129.6	274.1	132.5	279.1	
СОН	1013.0	357.2	1020.9	350.4	133.8	295.3	152.9	290.2	
СООН	1020.2	360.1	1025.1	345.5	133.2	299.8	136.1	289.7	
Cl	1020.3	343.1	1025.8	343.2	128.0	285.5	134.1	286.9	
COMe	1024.7	353.6	1025.5	340.5	129.8	289.8	142.6	287.9	
Br	1018.8	344.4	1028.6	343.6	127.9	290.2	134.9	290.2	
CF ₃	1003.6	363.4	1013.2	353.1	117.2	311.4	125.8	317.0	
CN	993.0	371.1	996.1	359.5	111.5	330.1	116.8	317.9	
NO ₂	987.3	381.3	993.7	365.6	109.6	332.4	123.1	323.4	

256 kJ mol⁻¹ in water. The computed ETEs values for Daidzein with substituents in ortho and meta position are compiled in Table 5. In gas phase and water, highest ETEs were found for strong EWG substituents (NO₂, CF₃ and CN). Lowest ETEs were found in the case of strong EDG substituents (NMe₂, NH₂, NHMe). The ETE values of substitued Daidzeins in the gas phase are higher than ca 35-70 kJ mol⁻¹ in comparison to corresponding values in water. It is known that electron withdrawing groups have an opposite effect. Therefore, electron donating groups lacrease ETE values, while electron donating groups decrease ETEs [36-40]. This trend corroborates with that observed for BDEs and IPs. The obtained results of this work are in agreement with previous studies on the substituted phenols and chromans [36-40].

Thermodynamically preferred mechanism

In general, free energy represents the criterion of the thermodynamically preferred process. However, in the case of studied reactions the absolute values of the entropic term $-T\Delta_r S$ reach few tens of kJ mol⁻¹ and all free energies, $\Delta_r G = \Delta_r H - T\Delta_r S$, are only shifted in comparison to corresponding enthalpies [24]. Therefore, comparison of BDEs, PAs and IPs can indicate which mechanism is thermodynamically preferred. Calculated gas phase IPs and PAs of mono–substituted Daidzeins are significantly higher, by 292 and 1057 kJ mol⁻¹, than BDEs, respectively. Therefore, HAT mechanism represents the most anticipated process in the gas phase from the thermodynamic point of view. In water, PA values are lower than BDE and IP values by 117 and 191 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. In water, IP values remain still lower than BDEs by ca 76 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively. Significantly lower PAs indicate that SPLET represents the thermodynamically preferred reaction pathway in water.

Dependence of calculated reaction enthalpies of Daidzein derivatives on the Hammett constants

The Hammett equation (and its extended forms) has been one of the most widely used means for the study and interpretation of organic reactions and their mechanisms. Hammett constants σ_m (for substituent in meta position) and σ_p (for substituent in para position) obtained from the ionization of organic acids in solutions can frequently successfully predict equilibrium and rate constants for a variety of families of reactions [12, 13]. Hammett constants correlate very well with the changes in BDE, IP and PA values in the case of anilines, phenols, chromans and thiophenols [17, 27]. In present paper we investigated the dependences between reaction enthalpies of meta substituted Daidzeins and corresponding Hammett constant values. Presence of linear dependences can be utilized in the synthesis of novel Daidzein derivatives with high antioxidant activity. The BDE values computed for the meta substituted Daidzeins in the gas phase and water are corrected against Hammett constants.

The correlation coefficients in gas and water reached 0.95 and 0.94 respectively. Equations obtained from the linear regression are as follows:

BDE (kJ mol⁻¹) =
$$25.4 \times \sigma_m + 381$$
 (gas) (10)

BDE (kJ mol⁻¹) = 22.1 ×
$$\sigma_{\rm m}$$
 + 367 (water) (11)

Also the linear dependences between computed IP and PA values for the meta substituted Daidzeins in the gas phase and water were investigated. For IP values the correlation coefficients in gas phase and water reached 0.93 and 0.92, respectively. Equations obtained from the linear regression are as follows:

IP (kJ mol⁻¹) =
$$134.8 \times \sigma_m + 667$$
 (gas) (12)

IP (kJ mol⁻¹) = 111.7 ×
$$\sigma_{\rm m}$$
 + 442 (water) (13)

For PA values the correlation coefficients in gas phase and water reached 0.92 and 0.93, respectively. Equations obtained from the linear regression are as follows

PA (kJ mol⁻¹) =
$$-48.5 \times \sigma_m + 1444$$
 (gas) (14)

PA (kJ mol⁻¹) =
$$-37.3 \times \sigma_{\rm m} + 253$$
 (water) (15)

We can conclude that the DFT method describes the expected linear BDE, IP and PA values vs. Hammett constant dependence satisfactorily. These results show good linearity of BDE, IP and PA = $f(\sigma_m)$ dependences. Obtained equations enable fast BDE, IP and PA estimations for meta substituted Daidzeins from the corresponding Hammett constants values. This can be useful in the selection of suitable candidates for the synthesis of novel Daidzein derivatives with enhanced antioxidant properties.

Dependence of calculated reaction enthalpies of Daidzein derivatives on corresponding E_{HOMO}

To accelerate the discovery of novel antioxidants, considerable effort has been devoted to investigating the structure activity relationships (SARs) for antioxidants. Furthermore, rational design strategies for antioxidants have been proposed and applied in research. It was shown that IPs determined using the DFT computational approaches are sufficiently accurate to characterize the electron donating ability of antioxidants [30]. The energy of the highest occupied molecular orbital (E_{HOMO}) represents an alternative parameter to assess the electron donating ability of antioxidants. This is widely used in the antioxidant study because of the simple calculation procedure, where the only calculation for parent molecule is required. In this paper, found E_{HOMO} for Daidzein in the gas phase and water are -5.72and -6.24 eV, respectively. As a general rule, the higher the E_{HOMO}, the more active the compound is as an antioxidant. The computed E_{HOMO} values of investigating Daidzeins in the gas phase and water are summarized in Table 6. These reveal that in the case of EWG-substituents, E_{HOMO} values become more negative, while the presence EDG-substituents results in less negative E_{HOMO} values. Therefore, Daidzeins with strong electron-donating groups are better electron donors, i.e. they enter SET-PT mechanism more easily. In the previous study it showed that B3LYP/6–311+G (2d,2p) method significantly underestimates vertical gas phase ionization potentials obtained from E_{HOMO} for mono-substituted anilines, phenols and thiophenols [30]. However, the trends in ionization potentials, in terms of Δ IPs, are described reliably. Therefore, we decided to find expected linear dependence between calculated IPs and corresponding E_{HOMO} values. For meta-substituted Daidzeins, correlation coefficients in gas phase and water reached 0.94 and 0.95, respectively. Obtained equations are as follows:

IP (kJ mol⁻¹) = $-1.23 \times E_{HOMO}$ (kJ mol⁻¹) + 69 (gas) (16)

IP (kJ mol⁻¹) =
$$-1.27 \times E_{HOMO}$$
 (kJ mol⁻¹) $- 365$ (water) (17)

Also, dependences between calculated IP values and corresponding E_{HOMO} values for substituents in ortho position were investigated. Correlation coefficients reached 0.93 (gas phase) and 0.96 (water). Following regression lines were obtained

IP (kJ mol⁻¹) = $-1.38 \times E_{HOMO}$ (kJ mol⁻¹) + 75 (gas) (18)

IP (kJ mol⁻¹) =
$$-1.56 \times E_{HOMO}$$
 (kJ mol⁻¹) -335 (water) (19)

From the obtained equations we can conclude that E_{HOMO} can be employed for fast estimations of reaction enthalpies for

Table 6. Calculated E_{HOMO} in (eV) of *ortho*, *meta* and non-substituted Daidzeins in gas phase and water.

	g	as	water		
Substituent	ortho	meta	ortho	meta	
Non	-5.72	-5.72	-6.24	-6.24	
NMe ₂	-5.49	-5.50	-6.14	-6.07	
NHMe	-5.46	-5.50	-6.09	-6.03	
NH ₂	-5.48	-5.41	-6.11	-6.05	
t–Bu	-5.41	-5.28	-6.10	-5.95	
Ethyl	-5.49	-5.50	-6.18	-6.23	
Ph	-5.48	-5.63	-6.16	-6.27	
CH=CH ₂	-5.67	-5.67	-6.28	-6.30	
OH	-5.66	-5.65	-6.29	-6.29	
OMe	-5.70	-5.68	-6.46	-6.32	
ССН	-5.68	-5.79	-6.39	-6.39	
F	-5.81	-5.97	-6.47	-6.52	
СОН	-5.83	-5.89	-6.50	-6.54	
СООН	-5.94	-6.08	-6.55	-6.56	
Cl	-5.96	-6.06	-6.57	-6.60	
COMe	-6.15	-6.06	-6.69	-6.58	
Br	-6.07	-6.19	-6.65	-6.65	
CF ₃	-6.00	-6.13	-6.64	-6.64	
CN	-6.11	-6.17	-6.69	-6.68	

the first of SET-PT mechanism. These linear dependences can be utilized in the selection of suitable substituents for the synthesis of novel Daidzein derivatives with enhanced antioxidant activity.

Conclusions

In this article, the reaction enthalpies of the individual steps of three antioxidant action mechanisms, HAT, SET-PT and SPLET, for various ortho and meta substituted Daidzeins were calculated in gas phase and water. Obtained results indicate that electron-withdrawing substituents increase the bond dissociation enthalpy (BDE) and ionization potential (IP), while electron-donating ones cause a rise in the proton affinity (PA). Water attenuates the substituent effect on all reaction enthalpies. Result show that the substituents in ortho position have high potential for synthesis of novel Daidzein derivatives. In the gas phase, BDEs are lower than PAs and IPs, i.e. HAT represents the thermodynamically preferred pathway. On the other hand, SPLET mechanism represents the thermodynamically favored process in water. The antioxidant structures act in solvent (water) certainly, therefore it can be concluded that EWG group increase the antioxidant activity of Daidzein derivatives. Results show that calculated enthalpies can be successfully corrected with Hammett constants (σ_m) of the substituted Daidzeins. It has been also found that IP values for substituted Daidzeins can be estimated from their E_{HOMO} values. This fact may be useful for the development of novel Daidzein based antioxidants.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank our colleagues for their valuable discussion on the computational affairs.

References

- Thorisson, S.; Gunstone, F.; Hardy, R. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1992, 69, 806-809.
- Sambasiva Rao, M.; Lalwani, N. D.; Watanabe, T. K.; Reddy, J. K. *Cancer Res.* **1984**, *44*, 1072-1076.
- Benson A. M.; Cha, Y. N.; Bueding, E.; Heine, H. S.; Talalay, P. Cancer Res. 1979, 39, 2971-2977.
- 4. Cabrai, J. R. P.; Neal, G. E. Cancer Lett. 1983, 79, 125-132.
- Chance, B.; Sies, H.; Boveris, A. Physiol. Rev. 1979, 59, 527-605.
- Wright, J. S.; Johnson, E. R.; Dilabio, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1173-1183.
- Zhang, H. Y.; Ji, H. F. J. Mol. Struct: (Theochem) 2003, 663, 167-174.
- Foti, M. C.; Daquino, C.; Geraci, C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2309-2314.
- Litwinienko, G.; Ingold, K. U. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8982-8990.
- 10. Wang, L. F.; Zhang, H. Y. Bioorg. Chem. 2005, 33, 108-115.
- 11. Klein, E.; Lukes, V.; Ilcin, M. Chem. Phys. 2007, 336, 51-57.

- Krygowski, T. M.; Steupien, B. T. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3482-3512.
- 13. Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 165-195.
- 14. Klein, E.; Lukes, V. J. Mol. Struct: (Theochem) 2006, 767, 43-50.
- 15. Denisov, E. T. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 1995, 49, 71-75.
- Mulder, P.; Korth, H.; Pratt, D. A.; Dilabio, G. A.; Valgimigli, L.; Pedulli, G. F.; Ingold, K. U. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 2647-2655.
- Klein, E.; Rimarcik, J.; Lukes, V. Acta Chimica Slovaca 2009, 2, 37-51.
- 18. Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.
- Miertus, S.; Scrocco, E.; Tomasi, J. Chem. Phys. 1981, 55, 117-129.
- Cossi, M.; Rega N.; Scalmani G.; Barone, V. J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669-681.
- 21. Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A. Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; AlLaham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. 1998, Gaussian 98, Inc Pittsburgh PA.
- 22. Bartmess, J. E. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 6420-6424.
- Bizarro, M. M.; Cabral, B. J. C.; dos Santos, R. M. B.; Simons, J. A. M. Pure. Appl. Chem. 1999, 71, 1249-1256.
- Rimarcik, J.; Lukes, V.; Klein, E.; Ilcin, M. J. Mol. Struct: (Theochem) 2010, 952, 25-30.
- Najafi, M.; Nazarparvar, E.; Haghighi, Mood K.; Zahedi, M.; Klein, E. J. Comput. Theoret. Chem. 2011, 965, 114-122.
- Nam, P. C.; Nguyen, M. T.; Chandra, A. K. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 10904-10911.
- Bordwell, F. G.; Zhang, X. M.; Satish, A. V.; Cheng, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6605-6610.
- Brinck, T.; Haeberline, M.; Jonsson, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4239-4244.
- 29. Fu, Y.; Liu, L.; Guo, Q. X. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2004, 17, 282-288.
- DiLabio, G. A.; Pratt, D. A.; Wright, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 2195-2203.
- 31. Vianello, R.; Maksic, Z. B. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 3402-3411.
- 32. Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785-789.
- 33. Cances, B. Mennucci, J. Math. Chem. 1998, 23, 309 -326.
- 34. Zhu, Q.; Zhang, Fry, A. J. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 1997, 57, 43 -50.
- Vafiadis, A. P.; Bakalbassis, E. G. Chem. Phys. 2005, 316, 195-204.
- Zhang, H. Y.; Sun, Y. M.; Wang, X. L. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 2709-2712.
- Bordwell, F. G.; Cheng, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 1736-1743.
- Najafi, M.; Haghighi Mood, K.; Zahedi, M.; Klein, E.Comput. Theoret. Chem. 2011, 969, 1-12
- 39. Klein, E.; Lukes, V. Chem. Phys. 2006, 330, 515 -525.
- Rimarcik, J.; Lukes, E. Klein, V.; Rottmannova, L. Comput. Theoret. Chem. 2011, 967, 273-283.